

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JACK FERM,

Plaintiff,

vs.

COLLEEN MCCARTY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-00782-GMN-PAL

ORDER AND REPORT OF FINDINGS
AND RECOMMENDATION

(Mtn for Summary Judgment - Dkt. #16)
(Mtn for Judicial Notice - Dkt. #17)

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff Jack Ferm's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on the Issue of Liability Re: Defamation Per Se and Malice (Dkt. #16); the Declaration of Paula Gervasoni (Dkt. #21) submitted by Plaintiff in support of the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment; Defendants Colleen McCarty's, KLAS, LLC's, and Steve Kanigher's Response (Dkt. #34); Plaintiff's Reply (Dkt. #40); Plaintiff's Request for Judicial Notice (Dkt. #17); and Defendants' First and Second Errata (Dkt. ##36, 82).


The undersigned entered an Order and Report of Findings and Recommendation recommending that Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint be dismissed with leave to amend. The court found that Plaintiff had not adequately invoked the court's diversity jurisdiction or complied with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and recommended dismissal of the First Amended Complaint (Dkt. #5) with leave to amend.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Judicial Notice (Dkt. #17) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

///

///


PEGGY A. JEEN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court. Pursuant to Local Rule of Practice (LR) IB 3-2(a), any party wishing to object to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge shall file and serve *specific written objections* together with points and authorities in support of those objections, within fourteen days of the date of service of the findings and recommendations. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s Order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). The points and authorities filed in support of the specific written objections are subject to the page limitations found in LR 7-4.